





# American Society for Reproductive Medicine 2017 Scientific Congress & Expo October 28 to November 1, 2017 • San Antonio, TX, USA

### Title:

DOES THE INTERVAL OF TIME BETWEEN HYSTEROSCOPY AND EMBRYO TRANSFER AFFECT CLINICAL OUTCOME?

## Authors:

D. Aharon<sup>2</sup>, L. Sekhon<sup>1,2</sup>, J.A. Lee<sup>1</sup>, C. Ascher-Walsh<sup>2</sup>, T. Mukherjee<sup>1,2</sup>, A.B Copperman<sup>1,2</sup>

## Affiliations:

- 1. Reproductive Medicine Associates of New York, 635 Madison Ave 10th Floor New York, New York, United States, 10022.
- 2. Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Science, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Klingenstein Pavilion 1176 Fifth Avenue 9th Floor New York, New York, United States, 10029.

### **Background:**

There is limited data on optimal timing from operative hysteroscopy to embryo transfer (ET). Studies restricted to polypectomies and uterine septum resections show no difference in IVF outcome based on varied durations from hysteroscopy to ET. However, hysteroscopic procedures including myomectomies, lysis of adhesions, and retained POCs require further evaluation. This study aimed to assess whether interval from operative hysteroscopy to ET affects pregnancy rates, in order to determine if delaying an ET after operative hysteroscopy optimizes IVF clinical outcome.

#### Design:

Retrospective cohort study

#### Methods:

The study included all patients treated at a single, private IVF center who had operative hysteroscopy followed by a day 5 ET from 2012 to 2017. The interval of time from hysteroscopy to ET was calculated and linear regression analyses were performed to assess the impact of time between hysteroscopy and subsequent ET on clinical outcome. A subanalysis, restricted to patients that underwent subsequent single, euploid, frozen ETs, was performed to assess the impact of time on ETs using a uniform protocol.







A total of 318 patients underwent an operative hysteroscopy followed by subsequent ET. Indications for hysteroscopy included polypectomy (n=205), myomectomy (n=34), lysis of adhesions (n=46), septum resection (n=19), and retained POCs (n=12). The mean interval of time from hysteroscopy to ET was 138.4  $\pm$ 162.7 (range: 20-1390) days. There was no significant difference in mean interval between procedure and subsequent ET in patients with successful vs. no implantation (Table 1). Patients stratified by interval from operative hysteroscopy to ET had similar clinical outcomes (Table 2). The time from hysteroscopy had no impact on odds of implantation (OR 1.001 (95% CI 0.999-1.002), p=0.5), ongoing pregnancy (OR 1.001 (95% CI 0.999-1.002), p=0.4) or early pregnancy loss (OR 0.997 (95% CI 0.994-1.000), p=0.07) (adjusted for oocyte age, recipient age, endometrial thickness, use of PGS, use of donor egg, fresh vs. frozen ET, ET count). Similar results were observed in the sub-analysis restricted to euploid single frozen ETs from autologous cycles (data not shown).

### **Conclusions:**

The interval from operative hysteroscopy to subsequent embryo transfer does not impact the likelihood of successful clinical outcome. These findings suggest that tissue healing and remodeling after operative hysteroscopy does not negatively impact endometrial receptivity. Though only a small proportion of our patients underwent ET within three weeks post-hysteroscopy, the data are reassuring that implantation and pregnancy rates are not negatively impacted by this short time interval. Patients who have undergone an operative hysteroscopy need not excessively delay their fertility treatment.

## Table 1:

	Implantation	No Implantation	P-value
	N=152	N=166	
Age	38.1 +/- 4.7	39.2 +/-4.6	< 0.05
Oocyte Age	35.3 +/- 5.0	36.1 +/- 5.4	NS
АМН	2.9 +/-2.9	2.7 +/- 2.7	NS
Basal antral follicle	9.1 +/- 6.4	9.2 +/- 7.3	NS
count			
Endometrial thickness	9.3 +/- 2.2	9.1 +/- 2.4	NS
Proportion of fresh vs.	36.4% (55/151)	48.2% (79/164)	<0.05
frozen blastocysts			
Proportion of ETs	46.7% (71/152)	31.3% (52/166)	< 0.005
involving screened,			
euploid embryos			
Proportion of ETs	16.4% (25/152)	15.7% (26/166)	NS
derived from donor			
oocyte			
Mean number of	1.4 +/- 0.6	1.4 +/- 0.6	NS
blastocysts transferred			
Mean interval of time	145.0 +/- 175.1	132.3 +/- 150.7	NS

Main demographics and cycle characteristics compared among implantation vs. no implantation



## Table 2:

ET outcomes according to interval from operative hysteroscopy

-	<20 days	20.60 dava	60.00 dave	>-00 days	D voluo
	< 30 days	30-00 uays	00-90 days	>=90 uays	F-value
	n=27	n=88	n=70	n=133	
Pregnancy rate	63.0% (17/27)	61.4% (54/88)	60.0% (42/70)	55.6%	NS
				(74/133)	
Implantation	44.4% (12/27)	51.1% (45/88)	47.1% (33/70)	46.6%	NS
rate				(62/133)	
Ongoing	44.4% (12/27)	45.5% (40/88)	38.6% (27/70)	44.4%	NS
pregnancy rate				(59/133)	
Early	18.5% (5/27)	15.9% (14/88)	21.4% (15/70)	11.3%	NS
pregnancy loss				(15/133)	
rate					

#### **References:**

- 1. Pereira NN. Does the time interval between hysteroscopic polypectomy and start of in vitro fertilization affect outcomes?. *Fertility and sterility*. 2016-02;105:539-544.e1.
- 2. Berkkanoglu MM. What is the best time to perform intracytoplasmic sperm injection/embryo transfer cycle after hysteroscopic surgery for an incomplete uterine septum?. *Fertility and sterility*. 2008-12;90:2112-2115.
- 3. Eryilmaz OO. Appropriate interval between endometrial polyp resection and the proceeding IVF start. *Archives of gynecology and obstetrics*. 2012-06;285:1753-1757.